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Background and Motivation

Deterministic models
- Consider fixed data (based on future projections, forecast data, etc.)
  - Demand, market prices, process reliability, etc.
  - Exact data is unavailable or very expensive
  - Easier to solve

Uncertainty Management
- Realistic decision making (much more expensive to solve)
- Require efficient solution method for deterministic problem in order to tackle the problem under uncertainty

Main Goal

*Develop a Robust decision making model to improve the optimal production, inventory and distribution coordination under uncertainty*
Main Goal

- Deterministic Production Distribution of Industrial Gases Supply Chains Management
- Reduce the computational effort (Analyzing **Large-scale optimization techniques**)
- Production Distribution of Industrial Gases Supply Chains Management **under uncertainty**

Robust Optimization (worst case)
- No recourse actions (short term problems)
- All decisions are here and now
- Some parameters are affected by an uncertainty set (simplest case upper and lower bounds)

Two Stage Stochastic programming
- Special attention to the size of the problem
- Discrete scenarios (based on probability distribution)
**Deterministic Production Distribution of Industrial Gases Supply Chains Management**

**Reduce the computational effort (Analyzing Large-scale optimization techniques)**

**Rolling Horizon**
- Solves the problem in a sequence of iterations, in each iteration part of the planning horizon will be modeled in detail ("detailed time block") and the rest of the time horizon is represented in an aggregated manner ("the aggregated time block").
Problem Statement and Main Assumptions

Given
- Plants, Products, Operating Modes and Production Limits
- Daily Electricity Prices (off-peak and peak)
- Customers and their demand/consumption profiles
- Max/Min inventory at production sites and customer locations
- Alternative sources and product availabilities
- Depots, Truck availabilities and capacities, Distances
- Fixed Planning Horizon (usually 1-2 weeks)

Decisions in each time period $t$
- Modes and production rates at each plant
- Inventory level at customer location and plants
- How much product to be delivered to each customer through which route

Objective Function
- Minimize total production and distribution cost over planning horizon

Main Assumptions – Distribution Side
- Two time periods per day (peak and off-peak) are considered
- Trucks do not visit more than 4 customers in a single delivery
Rolling Horizon approach

- The planning horizon in detail ("the detailed time block"),
- Rest of the horizon is represented in an aggregate manner ("the aggregate time block").

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{x,y} & \quad \text{TotalCost}(x, y) \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad Ax_t^f = Bx_{t-1}^f, \quad \forall s \in S, t \in T \\
& \quad Cx_s^f = Dy_s^f, \quad \forall s \in S, m \in M, t \in T
\end{align*}
\]

The planning horizon in detail ("the detailed time block") is represented in a detailed block, the rest of the horizon is represented in an aggregate manner ("the aggregate time block"). For each time period \( t \in T \), a sub-problem is formulated.

- Detailed block
- Aggregate block
- Fixed variables

\( \forall t \in T \rightarrow \text{Sub-problems} \)
RH Relaxed model

- The planning horizon in detail ("the detailed time block"),
- Rest of the horizon is represented in an aggregate manner ("the aggregate time block").
  - A) Relaxed model (binary variables are replaced by continuous variables [0,1]
  - B) Aggregate model (Distribution side constraints are replaced by a tailored distribution model)

**Detailed Distribution**

**Detailed Production**

**Main binary variables**
- mode selection $B_{pmt}$

**Production mode**
- Same production mode

**Material Balances**

**Relaxed variables (option a)**
- $Y_{RH}(k,source,time)$ whether or not truck is loaded at source at time period $t$
- $y_{route\_RH}(k,r,time)$ whether or not truck $k$ delivers to route $r$ (a customer set) in time period $t$
Rolling Horizon approach

- The planning horizon in detail ("the detailed time block"),
- Rest of the horizon is represented in an aggregate manner ("the aggregate time block").
  - A) Relaxed model (binary variables are replaced by continuous variables [0,1])
  - B) Aggregate model (Distribution side constraints are replaced by a tailored distribution model)

Aggregate BLOCK

Aggregate Distribution model (option b)

Main binary variables
mode selection $B_{pmt}$

Production mode
Same production mode
Material Balances

Depots
Plants
Customer sets

$Dist_{p,u,t}$

$k_{\infty}$

Products are shipped directly from plant to customer (disregarding the route generation)
**Rolling Horizon**  (illustrative example iteration 2)

### Fixed:
- $Y_{k,\text{source},\text{time}}$
- $B_{\text{on}_{p,m},\text{time}}$
- $Y_{\text{route}_{k,r},\text{time}}$

### Detailed time block

---

#### Detailed Distribution constraints

**Main binary variables**
- Production mode
  - Same production mode

**Relaxed variables**
- Continuous 0—1

- $Y_{\text{RH}(k,\text{source},\text{time})}$: Whether or not truck is loaded at source at time period $t$
- $y_{\text{route}_{\text{RH}(k,r,\text{time})}}$: Whether or not truck $k$ delivers to route $r$ (a customer set) in time period $t$

---

### Aggregate time block

---

**Aggregate Production**

**Main binary variables**
- Production mode
  - Same production mode

**Material Balances**

---

**Aggregate Distribution model**

**Main binary variables**
- Production mode
  - Same production mode

**Material Balances**

---
### Aggregate model (original):
- Infinite number of trucks
- Time window for demand satisfaction
- Travel distance calculations
- Distribution cost

### Aggregate model (v2):
- Infinite number of trucks
- Demand (fixed one time period)
- Travel distance calculations
- Distribution cost
- Minimum truck load
Industrial Size Test Case – Results

- 4 Plants / Depots
- 2 products (LIN, LOX)
- 2-3 production modes for each plant
- 15 alternative sources
- 229 customers
- 19 sources
- 10,032 possible routes reduced to 1,900 by the route generation algorithm
- 14 time periods (peak and off-peak)
- 46 trucks (25 for LIN, 12 for LOX)
- Min/max inventory, distances, electricity prices, truck deliveries, etc.
Industrial Size Test Case – Results
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- 2-3 production modes for each plant
- 15 alternative sources
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- 10,032 possible routes reduced to 1,900 by the route generation algorithm
- 46 trucks (25 for LIN, 12 for LOX)
- 19 sources

RH M2: 7 times faster!
3.22 % worse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>RH RM2</th>
<th>Aggregate</th>
<th>Agg v2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary variables</td>
<td>26,486</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous variables</td>
<td>69,902</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>35,233</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost (normalized)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103.22</td>
<td>104.73</td>
<td>103.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPU results

- Time: 41,511s, 1,297, 368s, 204s
- Nodes: 104,880, 1,817, 936, 900
- Relative gap: 4.7%, 1.9%, 1%, 1%

RH Agg_v2: 200 times faster!
3.3 % worse
12 hrs PDC vs RH RM2

Computational effort vs solution efficiency: RH RM2 solution is the closest to the PDC full-space solution, while Aggregate models are much more faster (with higher penalties for sub optimality).

Total Cost
- Original Model (12 hrs) 100
- Original Model (3hr) 102.21
- RH agg 104.73
- RH RM2 103.22
- RH agg_v2 103.35
Rolling horizon approach

- Decompose the full-space problem in a series of iterations.
- Detailed time block
- Aggregate time block

**RH(TH)**
- Full space

**RH(t2)**

**RH(t1)**

**Total Cost**

**Under estimation = lower bound**

**RH problems under estimate the total cost**

**RH_RM2**

**RH_agg**

**RH_agg_v2**
Remarks

Proposed framework provides optimal production and distribution coordination reducing the computational effort

- Proposed rolling horizon approach (both relaxed and aggregate models) are **computationally faster** than the simultaneous approach with a **small penalty** for sub-optimality.

- Aggregate model changes the search in the Branch and Bound method, while in the original model **symmetric results** impact the solution.

- Alternative sources have been widely used by the Rolling horizon approach (comp1, comp6, and comp10; in time periods t1-t4, t7, t9-t13). Otherwise, in the original model were narrowly used (comp7, comp10, and comp13 used in time periods t7, t11, and t13).

**Work under development:**

- Receding horizon: we consider that the information after iteration 1 could be used as decision making, and then explore the next time period as a new RH problem.

- Hybrid decomposition approach.