

Capacity Planning with uncertainty in Industrial Gas Markets



A. Kandiraju, P. Garcia-Herreros, E. Arslan, P. Misra, S. Mehta & I.E. Grossmann

EWO meeting
September, 2015

Motivation

Industrial gas markets are dynamic

- Suppliers must anticipate demands
- Plan their capacity accordingly
- Improper planning may lead to new players



Benefits are sensitive to market behavior:

- Market preferences
- Economic environment

Misprediction may lead to :

- Loss of huge capital investment
- Loss of market share

Need a model to mitigate losses due to uncertainties

Problem Statement

Maximize net present value (NPV):

- Determine Optimal **Capacity Planning strategy**

Given:

- Set of capacitated plants and candidate locations for new plant from **leading supplier**
- Set of plants from **independent suppliers** with limited capacity
- **Rational markets** that select their suppliers according to their own objective function
- **Different demands scenarios** that can occur over the time horizon
- **Probabilities** for each demand scenario to occur

Bilevel capacity planning under uncertainty

$$\max \sum_{t \in T} \left(\frac{1}{(1+R)^t} \right) \left[\left\{ \sum_{i \in I^1} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{s \in S} \left(\sum_{j \in J \setminus \{J'\}} (P_{t,i,j,k} - G_{t,i,j,k}) - \sum_{j \in J} F_{t,i,k} \right) P_{r,s} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{i \in I^1} (A_{t,i} v_{t,i} + B_{t,i} w_{t,i} + E_{t,i} x_{t,i}) \right\} \right]$$

$$w_{t,i} = V_{t,i}^0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t v_{t',i} - \sum_{t'=1}^t z_{t',i} \quad (\forall t \in T, i \in I^1) \quad \text{Invest or divest in plants}$$

$$x_{t,i} \leq w_{t,i} \quad (\forall t \in T, i \in I^1) \quad \text{Expand only open plants}$$

$$c_{t,i} = C_{t,i}^0 + \sum_{t'=1}^t H x_{t',i} \quad (\forall t \in T, i \in I^1) \quad \text{Capacity expansion}$$

$$\min \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{i \in I^1 \cup I^2 \cup I^3} \sum_{j \in J \setminus \{J'\}} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{(1+R)^t} P_{t,i,j,k} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \quad (\forall s \in S)$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \leq M w_{t,i} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, i \in I^1) \quad \text{No sales if plant is closed}$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \leq c_{t,i} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, i \in I^1) \quad \text{Capacity of plants from leader}$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \leq C_{0,i} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, i \in I^2) \quad \text{Capacity of independent plants}$$

$$-\sum_{j \in J} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \leq -Q_{i,k}^{low} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k' \in K} y_{s,t,i,j,k'} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, i \in I, k' \in K) \quad \text{Upper proportion for product}$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} y_{s,t,i,j,k} \leq Q_{i,k}^{up} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k' \in K} y_{s,t,i,j,k'} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, i \in I, k' \in K) \quad \text{Lower proportion for the product}$$

$$\sum_{i \in I^1 \cup I^2 \cup I^3} y_{s,t,i,j,k} = D_{s,t,j,k} \quad (\forall s \in S, t \in T, j \in J \setminus \{J'\}, k \in K) \quad \text{All markets are satisfied}$$

$$c_{t,i}, y_{s,t,j,i,k} \geq 0 ; \quad x_{t,i}, v_{t,i}, z_{t,i}, w_{t,i} \in \{0, 1\}$$

Dual Reformulation

- Bi-level is transformed to single level by Dual reformulation
- Lower level LP is equated to its corresponding dual using **strong duality** for each scenario
- Primal and dual feasibility conditions are added

Primal formulation:	=	Dual formulation:
$\min \sum_{k=1}^K c_k x_k$		$\max \sum_{i=1}^I a_{0,i} \mu_i$
$\text{s. t. } \sum_{k=1}^K a_{k,i} x_k \geq a_{0,i} (\mu_i)$	$\begin{matrix} i=1 \dots I \\ k=1 \dots K \end{matrix}$	$\text{s. t. } \sum_{i=1}^I a_{k,i} \mu_i \leq c_k$
$x_k \in R$		$\mu_i \in R^+$

- Bilinear terms arising from multiplication of upper level variables are linearized using Glovers linearization . These variables can be treated as parameters for lower level

Benders decomposition

- Single level problem can be decomposed by benders decomposition
- Facilitates computationally for large sized problem

Original problem :

$$\min_{x, y_s} c^T x + \sum_{s \in S} p_s q_s^T y_s$$

$$Ax \leq b \quad x \geq 0$$

$$W y_s = h_s - T_s x \quad y_s \geq 0$$

π_s are optimal dual vectors of constraints

Master Problem:

$$\min_{x, \theta} c^T x + \theta$$

$$\theta \geq d^{iter} x + e^{iter} \\ iter = 1, 2 \dots N$$

$$Ax \leq b \quad x \geq 0$$

Sub problem:

$$\min_{y_s} q_s^T y_s$$

$$W y_s = h_s - T_s x \quad y_s \geq 0$$

$$d^{iter} = \sum_{s \in S} p_s \pi_{iter,s}^T T_s$$

$$e^{iter} = \sum_{s \in S} p_s \pi_{iter,s}^T h_s$$

- Planning decisions are made in master problem and sales decisions are made in the subproblem
- Master problem and subproblem are solved iteratively by adding optimality cuts to master problem till convergence

Illustrative example

Problem structure:

- 2 Existing plants from leading provider
- 1 New candidate plant
- 1 Existing plants from competitors
- 8 Markets
- 30 time periods (Quarters)
- Planning decisions allowed for every 4 periods
- Composition of product-1 is 15-25% & product-2 is 75-85%
- 3 Demand scenarios are considered
- Each scenario has equal probability of occurrence



Results

Model Statistics:	Deterministic model	Stochastic model
Number of continuous variables	4708	13638
Number of discrete variables	146	146
Number of constraints	4221	13633
Solution time (sec)	84	113

Model Results :	Deterministic model under uncertainty	Stochastic model under uncertainty
NPV (MM\$)	349.22	358.24
Income from sales (MM\$)	1101.83	1007.83
Investment in new facilities (MM\$)	-	-
Expansion costs (MM\$)	-	-
Production costs (MM\$)	531.07	467.06
Transportation costs (MM\$)	119.60	150.07
Maintenance costs (MM\$)	31.61	71.26

Analysis: Stochastic model gives 9 MM \$ higher expected Net present value compared to deterministic model

Novelty

- MILP **two stage stochastic program** with a bi-level optimization model for capacity expansion
- Considers both **conflicting interest of producers and markets**, and also **uncertainty** in demands
- Allows to **reduce capacity** by shutdown of unprofitable plants
- **Flexibility in production ratios** of products

Future Work

- Three stage stochastic programming model to model uncertainties more accurately
- Include different expansion levels to which capacity of existing facilities of leader can be increased
- Include expansion strategy of competitor in the formulation