Quantitative Methods for Strategic Investment Planning in the Oil-Refining Industry
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**Goal:** develop quantitative methods to predict structural modifications in refining assets through time

**PETROBRAS Current Tool for Strategic Planning (PLANINV) – LP**

No Process Design Synthesis

Only optimize stream transfers (oil and fuels import/export, market supply)

**PLANINV**

Process Design Opt. (MILP) + NLP

Processing Blending

**What, Where, When to Invest?**
Capital Investment Planning Formulation

Maximize: \[ \text{NPV} = \text{DemandSales} - \text{SupplyCosts} - \text{OperatingCosts} - \text{InvestmentCosts} \]

Subject to:

\[ \text{QC}_{r,u,n,t} = \text{EXCAP}_{r,u,n} + \text{QC}_{r,u,n,t-1} + \text{QE}_{r,u,n,t-1} \]
\[ \text{QC}_{r,u,n,t} = \text{QC}_{r,u,n,t-1} + \text{QI}_{r,u,n,t-1} \]
\[ \gamma e_{r,u,n,t} \text{QE}_u^L \leq \text{QE}_{r,u,n,t} \leq \gamma e_{r,u,n,t} \text{QE}_u^U \]
\[ \gamma i_{r,u,n,t} \text{QI}_u^L \leq \text{QI}_{r,u,n,t} \leq \gamma i_{r,u,n,t} \text{QI}_u^U \]
\[ \text{QF}_{r,u,n,t} \leq \text{QC}_{r,u,n,t} \]

Where:
- \( \gamma e \) = expansion of an existent unit
- \( \gamma i \) = installation of a new unit

Expansion: \((u, n)_{\text{exp}}\)
Installation: \((u, n)_{\text{ins}}\)

Project execution:
- Take an investment decision (binary)
- Count on the additional production

Generalized formulation includes:
- Installation (grassroots);
- Project Execution;
- Sequence-dependent setups.
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Figure 1. Three types of capital investment planning problems.
The sequence-dependent setup mathematics using a discrete-time model can be found in Kelly & Zyngier, I&ECR, 46, (2007), Equation 12.

Figure 2a shows the stages of two batches and the profiles of the independent variable \(y_{i,t}\) and the four dependent variables \((su_{i,t}, sd_{i,t}, sw_{i,i,t}, \text{ and } yy_{i,t})\) extracted from Kelly and Zyngier (2007). For the capital investment planning case (Figure 2b), the startup, shutdown and switchover-to-itself variables \((su_{i,t}, sd_{i,t}, sw_{i,i,t})\) are disregarded, only the setup and the memory variables \((yy_{i,t})\) are defined to control the project scheduling and staging. In our project scheduling case, the time-duration of the dependent startup and shutdown transitions are covered by the intermediate stages (correction, commission, or construction).

\[
(yy_{i,t} + yy_{i,t-1} - 1) + su_{j,t} - sd_{m,t} \leq 1
\]
i, j = operation mode (batch 1, batch 2, existing, nonexisting, expanded, installed)
m = maintenance, commission, construction
Figure 3. Motivating example 1: small GCIP flowsheet for expansion.

Figure 4. Gantt chart for expansion of a generalized CIP example.
Figure 5. Motivating example 2: small GCIP flowsheet for expansion and installation.

Figure 6. Gantt chart for expansion and installation of a generalized CIP example.
Example: Jackson and Grossmann (2002) example

Figure 7. Retrofit example for capacity (expansion) and capability (extension) projects.

Figure 8. UOPSS flowsheet.

Figure 9. Gantt chart for Jackson and Grossmann (2002) example.
Figure 10. Oil-refinery example flowsheet.
Figure 11. Gantt chart for the CDU and VDU installations.
Conclusions

Novelty:

• Includes project execution time (excluding the production from expanded units during this period)

• Expansion and Installation to control the capacity increment of units

• More realistic approach (in a quantitative manner) for strategic investment planning in the oil-refining industry

• The generalized capital investment planning introduces a novel modeling for optimization of project setups and phases using sequence-dependent logic, where capital and capacity are treated as flows in a scheduling environment.
Conclusions

Impact for industrial applications:

- Realistic formulation to predict investments in oil-refinery units, considering the stages of the projects.

- Avoids overestimating/underestimating capacity expansion/installation.

- The strategic decision-making modeled in a scheduling environment can be extended easily to the entire supply chain for decisions on which units, tanks, pipelines, blenders, etc. to expand or newly build (economics) considering their operations (performance).